

Message

From: Dourson, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BB29BF491D9A4C3AB569022BCD205A0A-DOURSON, MI]
Sent: 11/30/2017 12:17:12 AM
To: Hanley, Mary [Hanley.Mary@epa.gov]
CC: Beck, Nancy [beck.nancy@epa.gov]; Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: PCBs in Schools 1-pager by Nov 30

Mary

Thanks for this information. Did the OPPT team also address the risk question regarding the 50 ppm level?

Cheers!

Michael

From: Hanley, Mary
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 6:16 PM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Dourson, Michael <dourson.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: PCBs in Schools 1-pager by Nov 30

Nancy,
Attached please find the PCBs in schools write-up that you requested from OPPT.
M

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Scheifele, Hans" <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>
Date: November 29, 2017 at 2:13:06 PM EST
To: "Hanley, Mary" <Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>
Cc: "Pierce, Alison" <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PCBs in Schools 1-pager by Nov 30

Mary,

Attached is a one-pager on PCBs that NPCD developed. Per your note below, Nancy asked for this by tomorrow. Please share with her and let me know if any questions.

Thanks,
Hans

Hans Scheifele
Special Assistant
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
Voice (202) 564-3122

From: Scheifele, Hans
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2017 11:03 AM
To: Hanley, Mary <Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>
Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: PCBs in Schools 1-pager by Nov 30

Hi Mary,

I'm out this week but Erik Winchester of NPCD is working on a response and Chris will coordinate for OPPT IO. Regarding the benefit/cost analysis EPA has not done an assessment. No broad information was developed about benefits. (I believe the only benefits looked at for the FLB proposal were in terms of leaks avoided.) NPCD is putting together the information they have which I think includes cost estimates that the EPA did not generate or evaluate but have been reported by other organizations.

Hans

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 19, 2017, at 8:55 AM, Hanley, Mary <Hanley.Mary@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Hans, good question. Before I check with NB can you circle back to your folks to see if they have even back of the envelop costs/benefits. I only ask because this issue has been considered over the years. Thanks.

Cheers

Mary

From: Scheifele, Hans
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2017 1:22 PM
To: Hanley, Mary
Cc: Pierce, Alison
Subject: RE: PCBs in Schools 1-pager by Nov 30

Mary,

For the cost benefit analysis, what is being asked for? Since a timeframe is being requested for a proposed rule this would include the development of an economic analysis. I am not aware that such an analysis is already available for this future proposal.

Can you please clarify what is needed?

Thanks,
Hans

Hans Scheifele
Special Assistant
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics

1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
Voice (202) 564-3122

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanley, Mary
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2017 12:16 PM
To: Scheifele, Hans <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>
Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Subject: PCBs in Schools 1-pager by Nov 30

Hans,

By Nov 30 th would OPPT draft a 1-pager (no more than 1 page per NB) on PCBs in schools.

Please include the following:

- >>> - info on concerns in PCBs in schools (NY, CA)
- >>> - what we are doing now in states and regions -history/timeline for
- >>> rulemaking -costs/benefits of the proposed rule.
- >>> - OPPT workload effort to get a proposed and final rule out.
- >>>

Thanks

M